Neuroprotective activity of *Garcinia morella desr* against monosodium glutamate-induced neurotoxicity in rats

Iyappan R\(^1\), Neeraj K Sharma\(^2\), Senthilkumar S K\(^3\)

\(^1\)Research Scholar, Paci\obic University, Udaipur, Rajasthan-313003, India
\(^2\)Faculty of Pharmacy, Bhagwant University, Ajmer, Rajasthan- 305004, India
\(^3\)Arunai College of Pharmacy, Tiruvannamalai-606611, Tamilnadu, India

**Article History:**
Received on: 15 Aug 2020
Revised on: 25 Sep 2020
Accepted on: 24 Oct 2020

**Keywords:**
Antioxidants, Dextromethorphan, Monosodium glutamate, Neurotransmitters

**ABSTRACT**

The aim of the present study is to evaluate the neuroprotective effect of methanol extract of *Garcinia morella Desr* leaves in monosodium glutamate (MSG) induced neurotoxicity in rats. The MSG 2 g/kg, i.p. was used to induce the neurotoxicity. The rats were administrated with methanol extract of *Garcinia morella Desr* leaves (MEGM) 200 and 400 mg/kg, p.o. and Dextromethorphan 30 mg/kg, p.o. after 1 h injection of MSG. The animals were evaluated for its behavioural factors such as muscle grip and locomotor activity. At the end of the study, the antioxidant enzymes levels, neurotransmitter levels, TNF\(_\alpha\), \(\beta\)-amyloid and mineral levels were estimated in brain homogenate. The MEGM treated group shows significant improvement of behavioural and locomotor activity and muscle strength against MSG induced neurotoxicity. The glutathione, SOD, catalase and total protein levels were significantly increased in MEGM treated group. However, significant reduction within the level of varied neurotransmitters like AChE, Dopamine, TNF\(_\alpha\), \(\beta\)-amyloid were observed on treatment with MEGM extract. Further, MEGM also significantly decrease the MSG induced toxicity through declined levels of Ca\(^{2+}\) and Na\(^+\) with increased levels of K\(^+\). In conclusion, the present study suggests that the MEGM has significant neuroprotective activity against MSG induced neurotoxic rats.
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INTRODUCTION

Neuroprotection is a treatment which means to shield the rest of the nerve cells from further harm. It is a broadly uncovered treatment for many central nervous system (CNS) illnesses such as stroke, neurodegenerative diseases, spinal cord injury, brain injury, paralysis and neurotoxin consumption. Any substance from natural molecule that has a protective influence in the nervous system against neurodegenerative disease or brain injury will possess neuroprotective activity (Djaldetti et al., 2003; Ha et al., 2011). Common neurotoxicity mechanisms are elevated levels of oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, excitotoxicity, inflammatory changes, iron accumulation, and protein aggregation (Seidl and Potashkin, 2011; Andersen, 2004; Zádori et al., 2012).
floras are a best foundation to discover new solutions for neurological diseases. *Garcinia morella Desr* (GM) is a medicinal plant under the family of Guttiferae. GM is distributed in Asian, African and Polynesian countries and it is locally called as kujithekera. GM is commonly used for the management of stomach disorders, bowel problems and inflammations. Different extracts of Garcinia species has been revealed for its anticancer (Choudhury et al., 2016), anti-oxidative (Yamaguchi et al., 2000), antifungal (Gopalakrishnan et al., 1997), antibacterial (Rao and Verma, 1951; Sani and Narasimha-Rao, 1969) activities. And also the Garcinia species contains secondary metabolites such as xanthones, flavonoids, lactones, benzophenones and phenolic acids. The Morello flavone, Gambogic acid and guttiferic acid has reported in GM (Karanigaokar et al., 1967; Chantarasiwong et al., 2010). The fruits of GM are rich in hydroxyl citric acid, garcinol, guttiferones, camboginol and xanthochymol (Hemshekhar et al., 2011). The flavonoids, xanthones, and prenylated benzophenones have been reported for its Neuropharmacological property. But there is no scientific validation of GM for neuroprotective activity. Therefore, this research work was aimed to evaluate the neuroprotective activity of GM.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Collection and Identification**

Raw leaves of GM were acquired in Tirunelveli region of Tamil Nadu, India. The GM was verified by the taxonomist Dr.P.Jayaraman, Institute of Herbal Science, Plant Anatomy Research Center, Chennai. The voucher specimen numbers is PARC/2017/4338.

**Preparation of Extracts**

Unprocessed leaf of GM was completely cleaned, shadow dried and pulverized. Then it was extracted using continuous extraction (Soxhlet extraction) method. The methanol was utilized for extraction for 48 h. The concentrate product was concentrated by using vacuum evaporation at 45°C. Then the end product was collected in a well closed container for pharmacological screening (Mahalaxmi et al., 2018) (Gopalasatheeskumar, 2018).

**Laboratory animals**

Wistar albino rat (either sex; 125-150 g body weight) were kept in a well-organized room temperature for 12 h. The animals were free admittance to clean food and water. The investigations were led in sound proof research centre. This investigation was done with the approval of the animal ethical com-
Estimation of neurotransmitters and minerals

The Acetylcholinesterase (AChE), Glutamate, Serotonin, Dopamine, calcium and sodium levels were estimated from 250 μL of brain homogenate and followed by standard assay methods (Usama et al., 2017; Boopathi and Thangavel, 2020).

Estimation of β-amyloid and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α)

The level of β-amyloid peptide and TNF-α in brain homogenate were estimated by the rat ELISA Kit with a microplate reader as per the vendor’s protocol (Usama et al., 2017; Silva et al., 2015).

Statistical analysis

Results are communicated as mean±SEM. Results were analysed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) trailed by Dunnet’s multiple comparison test utilizing Graph Pad Prism software. <0.05 is reflected as significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of MEGM on Locomotor and muscle relaxant activity

Treatment with MSG treated group shows significant decrease in the time of fall from the rotating rod compared with control group. While on Treatment with MEGM at the both doses and standard drug dextromethorphan it is reversed (Table 1). The locomotor activity is decreased significantly in MSG treated groups as compared with control. On Treatment with MEGM at the dose of 200 and 400 mg/kg as well as standard drug, dextromethorphan locomotor activity score is increased as compared with negative control (Table 2).

Effect of MEGM anti-oxidant parameters

Significant accumulation of lipid peroxides in brain tissue of rat was observed and effect of antioxidant levels including SOD, Catalase and GSH was decreased significantly in MSG injected group when it was compared with control, whereas MEGM and dextromethorphan treated rat produce a significant reduction in LPO activity and significant elevation in SOD, CAT and RGS in brain tissues as compared with MSG treated rat it is shown in Table 3.

Effect of MEGM on minerals

The MSG treated groups shows the significant elevated levels of calcium and sodium when compared to normal control group (P<0.01). However, potassium levels were significantly decreased. These altered levels were significantly normalized in MEG and Dextromethorphan treated groups (Table 4).

Effect of MEGM on neurotransmitters, β-amyloid and TNFα

The MSG treated groups shows the significant elevated levels of glutamate, AChE, TNFα, dopamine and β-amyloid when compared to normal control group (P<0.01). However, GABA and Serotonin levels were significantly decreased. These altered levels were significantly normalized in MEG and Dextromethorphan treated groups (Table 5).

MSG a flavouring agent causes neuronal symptoms like numbness, weakness, dizziness and headaches and also it’s reported as MSG changes antioxidant levels and lipid peroxidation of mitochondria in brain (Meldrum, 2000). Treatment of MSG in rat may cause severe behavioural abnormalities like increased irritability, hypoactivity, and deficits in spontaneous alternation behaviour (Shivasharan et al., 2013). MSG produces excitotoxicity by activating NMDA receptors, which successively disturb the calcium homeostasis, which can cause glutamate-induced neuronal damage. Earlier report says that there’s association between increased glutamate neurotransmission and cognitive dysfunction. Glutamate elicits synaptic responses via metabotropic mGLU and ionotropic NMDA receptors resulting in increased cellular excitation and induce the discharge of Ca+2 from intracellular stores. On the opposite hand activation of ionotropic receptors increases cellular permeability to Ca+2 (Weil et al., 2008).

Acetyl choline is a most vital neurotransmitter of CNS and it plays a major role in behavioural also as learning and memory and neurodegenerative diseases. Our results showed that on treatment with MSG shows that there’s an increased AChE level within the brain may lead to a reduction of cholinergic neurotransmission efficiency due to a decrease in acetyl choline level within the synaptic cleft, thus contributing to progressive cognitive impairment (Jiang and Zhang, 2008). Additionally, MSG causes oxidative stress by increasing lipid peroxides and reactive oxygen species (ROS), which could enhance AChE level. Significant alterations in serotonin, dopamine, and β amyloid levels within the brain of MSG-treated rats were recorded in our study. This decrease in serotonin level in brain may cause neurotoxicity. While on treatment with MEGM at the both doses as well as standard drug dextromethorphan significantly increased the serotonin level which gives neuroprotection property and therefore the report was supported by a previous report on exposed of MSG (Sylvie et al., 2015). Moreover, the MSG induced rats were raised in Aβ accumulation which cause development of
Table 1: Effect of MEGM in MSG induced neurotoxicity in Rota rod test in albino rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>30 (sec)</th>
<th>60 (sec)</th>
<th>90 (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>258.10±6.32</td>
<td>271.60±6.37</td>
<td>220.50±4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>186.80±8.47</td>
<td>14.80±9.86</td>
<td>82.10±2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>251.80±8.75</td>
<td>255.80±7.12</td>
<td>186.60±8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MEGM 200mg/kg</td>
<td>263.30±4.38</td>
<td>245.10±8.90</td>
<td>137.50±10.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MEGM 400mg/kg</td>
<td>263.80±5.66</td>
<td>268.30±4.59</td>
<td>183.30±6.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. of Animals 6, Data were analysed as Mean±SEM, One way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test, All groups were matched with negative control, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001

Table 2: Effect of MEGM in MSG induced neurotoxicity in actophotometer in albino rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Before treatment</th>
<th>After treatment</th>
<th>% reduction activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>280±9.31</td>
<td>165.80±4.16</td>
<td>41.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>280.80±8.07</td>
<td>310±3.65</td>
<td>10.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MEGM 200mg/kg</td>
<td>278.30±7.49</td>
<td>295±7.63</td>
<td>6.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MEGM 400mg/kg</td>
<td>270±7.30</td>
<td>293.30±10.54</td>
<td>8.62%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. of Animals 6, Data were analysed as Mean±SEM, One way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test, All groups were matched with negative control, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p< 0.001

Table 3: Effect of MEGM on the antioxidant parameters in MSG induced neurotoxicity in albino rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>GSH (U/L)</th>
<th>LPO (U/L)</th>
<th>SOD (U/L)</th>
<th>CAT(mg/dl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3.72±0.437***</td>
<td>3.76±0.1607***</td>
<td>18.33±0.4084***</td>
<td>63.90±1.69***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>1.13±0.10</td>
<td>11.19±0.10</td>
<td>10.45±0.23</td>
<td>22.84±0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>3.12±0.30***</td>
<td>4.81±0.21***</td>
<td>18.67±0.21***</td>
<td>54.63±0.98***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEGM 200mg/kg</td>
<td>2.35±0.10***</td>
<td>7.25±0.14***</td>
<td>15.11±0.15***</td>
<td>40.09±0.44 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEGM 400mg/kg</td>
<td>3.11±0.09***</td>
<td>5.42±0.20***</td>
<td>17.08±0.11***</td>
<td>65.50±1.30***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. of Animals 6, Data were analysed as Mean±SEM, One way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test, All groups were matched with negative control, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p< 0.001

Table 4: Effect of MEGM on the mineral, β-amyloid and TNFα levels in MSG induced neurotoxicity in albino rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Calcium (mg/dl)</th>
<th>Sodium (mg/dl)</th>
<th>Potassium (mg/dl)</th>
<th>β-amyloid (ng/L)</th>
<th>TNFα (ng/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal control</td>
<td>80.90±2.28***</td>
<td>9.03±0.17***</td>
<td>72.24±0.75***</td>
<td>15.23±0.14***</td>
<td>23.74±0.40***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>136.75±3.27</td>
<td>14.22±0.11</td>
<td>51.98±0.32</td>
<td>53.44±0.18</td>
<td>56.18±1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>86.10±1.03***</td>
<td>12.05±0.13***</td>
<td>57.18±0.30***</td>
<td>18.65±0.30***</td>
<td>25.26±0.98***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEGM 200mg/kg</td>
<td>106.13±1.43***</td>
<td>11.08±0.09***</td>
<td>67.10±0.37***</td>
<td>24.84±0.32***</td>
<td>35.73±0.75***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEGM 400mg/kg</td>
<td>75.18±6.60***</td>
<td>10.01±0.11***</td>
<td>67.98±0.62***</td>
<td>20.79±0.50***</td>
<td>28.38±1.12***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. of Animals 6, Data were analysed as Mean±SEM, One way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test, All groups were matched with negative control, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p< 0.001
Table 5: Effect of methanolic extract of *Garcinia morella* on the neuro transmitters parameters in MSG induced neurotoxicity in albino rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Dopamine (ng/mL)</th>
<th>(AchE) activity</th>
<th>Serotonin (pg/mL)</th>
<th>GABA (pg/mL)</th>
<th>Glutamate (nmol/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.51±0.01***</td>
<td>15.22±0.53***</td>
<td>153.08±2.80***</td>
<td>8.08±0.21***</td>
<td>7.51±0.21***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>1.35±0.02</td>
<td>20.83±0.29</td>
<td>24.60±5.03</td>
<td>2.47±0.18</td>
<td>13.08±1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>0.67±0.01***</td>
<td>15.79±0.19***</td>
<td>167.2±1.07***</td>
<td>7.02±0.24***</td>
<td>7.45±0.17***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEGM 200mg/kg</td>
<td>0.9±0.01***</td>
<td>16.75±0.20***</td>
<td>195.95±3.08***</td>
<td>5.12±0.09***</td>
<td>9.77±0.44***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEGM 400mg/kg</td>
<td>0.66±0.02***</td>
<td>15.59±0.40***</td>
<td>167.39±2.89***</td>
<td>6.48±0.17***</td>
<td>7.89±0.16***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. of Animals 6. Data were analysed as Mean±SEM, One way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test, All groups were matched with negative control,*p<0.05, **p<0.01,***p<0.001

amyloid plaques within the rat hippocampus and induced neurobehavorial abnormalities, this effect was reversed by MEGM and standard drug dextromethorphan. Furthermore, MSG treated group shows increased levels of TNF-α, it confirms the neuro inflammation caused by MSG. While on treatment with MEGM at both doses as well as standard drug dextromethorphan significantly reduced the amount of these inflammatory mediators and mainly it suppress the expression of TNF-α (Jain et al., 2009).

**CONCLUSIONS**

The results getting from this research clearly revealed the neuroprotective effect of MEGM against MSG induced neurotoxic rats. Since MSG is extremely dangerous its use must be limited. This effect may be due to the presence of antioxidants like Xanthones, flavonoids and prenylated benzophenones present within the extract. Further the isolation of active principles for find out the exact mechanism of neuroprotective activity.
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